

Written report of the Contracting Authority

Contracting Authority: Česká zemědělská univerzita v Praze
Seat: Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Praha - Suchdol
Represented: Ing. Jakub Kleindienst, bursar
ID: 60460709
Contracting authority profile: <https://zakazky.czu.cz>

PUBLIC CONTRACT:

Genome and metagenome sequencing using NovaSeq platform

The Contracting Authority based on the provisions of Section 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., On Public Procurement, as amended (hereinafter "the Act"), to the above-mentioned public contract awarded pursuant to Act draw up a written report

1. Subject of public contract
The subject of the performance of the procurement is sequencing of Termite Genomes (Shotgun sequencing by using Novaseq platform) and Eukaryotic Genomes and Metagenomes (Library Preparation for Novaseq shotgun sequencing and Shotgun sequencing through Novaseq).
2. Price agreed in the public contract
7.953.250,- Kč
3. Type of procurement procedure
Open procedure pursuant to Sect. 56 of the Act.

4. Identification of the participants	
Business name:	NOVOGENE (HK) COMPANY LIMITED
Seat:	Rm. 19 C, Lockhart Ctr., 301-307 Lockhart Rd., Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China
Identification number:	61112195
Business name:	Macrogen Europe B.V.
Seat:	Meibergdeef 31, 1105AZ Amsterdam, Netherland
Identification number:	69716099
Business name:	Institute of Applied Biotechnologies a.s.
Seat:	Služeb 3056/4, 108 00 Praha 10
Identification number:	27225712

5. Identification of all participants excluded from the procurement procedure including a statement of grounds for their exclusion	
Business name:	---
Seat:	---
Legal form:	---
ID:	---
Grounds for their exclusion:	---

6. Identification of the economic operators with which a contract or a framework agreement was concluded	
Business name:	NOVOGENE (HK) COMPANY LIMITED

Seat:	Rm. 19C, Lockhart Ctr., 301-307, Lockhart Rd. Wan Chai, Hong Kong
Legal form:	Limited liability company
ID:	61112195
Reasons for their selection:	The bids were evaluated on the basic criterion economic aspect of the bids. The economic aspect was assessed by the contracting authority on the basis of the lowest bid price. The contracting authority merely stated that the selected supplier's tender was acceptable to the contracting entity.

7. Identification of subcontractors
--

Business name:	---
Seat:	---
Legal form:	---
ID:	---

8. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure with prior publication or a competitive dialogue procedure

Was not used

9. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure without prior publication
--

Was not used

10. Justification of the use of a light regime

Was not used

11. Justification of the cancellation of the procurement procedure or of not setting up a dynamic purchasing system
--

Was not used

12. Justification of the use of means of communication other than electronic means for the submission of tenders

In accordance with the Act, the Contracting Authority allowed the submission of tenders only in electronic form.
--

13. List of persons who were found to have a conflict of interest and a list of subsequently adopted measures
--

No conflict of interest was identified for any person.
--

14. Justification of not dividing an above-threshold public contract into lots

The public contract was not divided in lots because, regarding the subject-matter, it was not necessary.
--

15. Justification of laying down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act

Contracting authority did not lay down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act