Written report of the Contracting Authority

Contracting Authority: Česká zemědělská univerzita v Praze **Seat:** Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Praha - Suchdol

Represented: Ing. Jakub Kleindienst, Bursar

ID: 60460709

Contracting authority profile: https://zakazky.czu.cz

PUBLIC CONTRACT:

Whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS)

The Contracting Authority based on the provisions of Section 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., On Public Procurement, as amended (hereinafter "the Act"), to the above-mentioned public contract awarded pursuant to Act draw up a written report

1. Subject of public contract

The subject of supply pursuant hereto is whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) of supplied samples delivered in aliquots (many samples together called "batch") from bark beetles and beetle related samples.

2. Price agreed in the public contract

CZK 628.800 without V.A.T.

3. Type of procurement procedure

Simplified under-limit procedure according to Sect. 53 of the Act.

4. Identification of the participants	
Business name:	NOVOGENE (HK) COMPANY LIMITED
Seat:	Level 54, Hopewell Centre, 183 Queen's Road East,
	Honk Kong, China
Legal form:	Limited liability company
ID:	61112195

5. Identification of all participants exc	cluded from the procurement procedure including a	
statement of grounds for their exclusion		
Business name:		
Seat:		
Legal form:		
ID:		
Grounds for their exclusion:		

6. Identification of the economic operators with which a contract or a framework		
agreement was concluded		
Business name:	NOVOGENE (HK) COMPANY LIMITED	

Seat:	Level 54, Hopewell Centre, 183 Queen's Road East,
	Honk Kong, China
Legal form:	Limited liability company
ID:	61112195
Reasons for their selection:	The Evaluation Committee did not assess the tenders because it would assess only one tender. The Evaluation Committee merely stated the tender was acceptable to the Contracting Authority.

7. Identification of subcontractors	Identification of subcontractors	
Business name:		
Seat:		
Legal form:		
ID:		

8. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure with prior publication or a competitive dialogue procedure

Was not used

9. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure without prior publication

Was not used

10. Justification of the use of a light regime

Was not used

11. Justification of the cancellation of the procurement procedure or of not setting up a dynamic purchasing system

Was not used

12. Justification of the use of means of communication other than electronic means for the submission of tenders

In accordance with the Act, the Contracting Authority allowed the submission of tenders only in electronic form.

13. List of persons who were found to have a conflict of interest and a list of subsequently adopted measures

No conflict of interest was identified for any person.

14. Justification of not dividing an above-threshold public contract into lots

The public contract was not divided in lots because, regarding the subject-matter, it was not necessary.

15. Justification of laying down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act

Contracting authority did not lay down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act

V Praze dne

Ing. Jakub Kleindienst kvestor