## Written report of the Contracting Authority

**Contracting Authority:** Czech University of Life Sciences Prague **Seat:** Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Praha - Suchdol

**Represented:** Ing. Jakub Kleindienst, Bursar

**ID:** 60460709

Contracting authority profile: <a href="https://zakazky.czu.cz">https://zakazky.czu.cz</a>

#### **PUBLIC CONTRACT:**

### Service for three bark beetle genome sequencing

The Contracting Authority based on the provisions of Section 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., On Public Procurement, as amended (hereinafter "the Act"), to the above-mentioned public contract awarded pursuant to Act draw up a written report

#### 1. Subject of public contract

The subject of supply pursuant hereto is service for three bark beetle genome sequencing, i.e. Premade HiC or Omni-C libraries sequencing, Whole-genome DNA Sequencing on Novaseq or the latest Illumina platform, Illumina short-read transcriptome (mRNA) sequencing and Complete genome data analysis.

### 2. Price agreed in the public contract

CZK 1.048.200 without V.A.T.

#### 3. Type of procurement procedure

Simplified under-limit procedure according to Sect. 53 of the Act.

4. Identification of the participants	
Business name:	NOVOGENE (HK) COMPANY LIMITED
Seat:	Rm. 19 C, Lockhart Ctr., 301-307 Lockhart Rd., Wan Chai,
	Hong Kong, China
Legal form:	Limited liability company
ID:	61112195

5. Identification of all participants excluded from the procurement procedure including a statement of grounds for their exclusion		
Business name:		
Seat:		
Legal form:		
ID:		
Grounds for their exclusion:		

6. Identification of the economic operators with which a contract or a framework	
agreement was concluded	
Business name:	NOVOGENE (HK) COMPANY LIMITED
Seat:	Rm. 19 C, Lockhart Ctr., 301-307 Lockhart Rd., Wan
	Chai, Hong Kong, China
Legal form:	Limited liability company
ID:	61112195
Reasons for their selection:	The Evaluation Committee did not assess the tenders
	because it would assess only one tender. The Evaluation
	Committee merely stated the tender was acceptable to
	the Contracting Authority.

7. Identification of subcontractors	
Business name:	
Seat:	
Legal form:	
ID:	

8. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure with prior publication or a competitive dialogue procedure

Was not used

9. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure without prior publication

Was not used

## 10. Justification of the use of a light regime

Was not used

11. Justification of the cancellation of the procurement procedure or of not setting up a dynamic purchasing system

Was not used

12. Justification of the use of means of communication other than electronic means for the submission of tenders

In accordance with the Act, the Contracting Authority allowed the submission of tenders only in electronic form.

13. List of persons who were found to have a conflict of interest and a list of subsequently adopted measures

No conflict of interest was identified for any person.

# 14. Justification of not dividing an above-threshold public contract into lots

The public contract was not divided in lots because, regarding the subject-matter, it was not necessary.

# 15. Justification of laying down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act

Contracting authority did not lay down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act