Written report of the Contracting Authority

Contracting Authority:Česká zemědělská univerzita v PrazeSeat:Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Praha - SuchdolRepresented:Ing. Jana Vohralíková, BursarID:60460709Contracting authority profile:https://zakazky.czu.cz

PUBLIC CONTRACT: Metagenomic analysis (sequencing of amplicon and data analysis

The Contracting Authority based on the provisions of Section 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., On Public Procurement, as amended (hereinafter "the Act"), to the above-mentioned public contract awarded pursuant to Act draw up a written report

1. Subject of public contract

The subject of the performance of the procurement is analysis of amplicon of delivered 400 pcs of samples of the subfamily Scolytinae (total DNA).

2. Price agreed in the public contract

560.000,- Kč

3. Type of procurement procedure

Open procedure pursuant to Sec. 56 of the Act

4. Identification of the participants	
Business name:	NOVOGENE (HK) COMPANY LIMITED
Seat:	Rm. 19C, Lockhart Ctr., 301-307, Lockhart Rd.
	Wan Chai, Hong Kong
Legal form:	Limited liability company
ID:	61112195

Business name:	SEQme s.r.o.
Seat:	Dlouhá 176, 263 01 Dobříš
Legal form:	Limited liability company
ID:	24312819

5. Identification of all participants excluded from the procurement procedure including a statement of grounds for their exclusion Business name: -- Seat: -- Legal form: -- ID: -- Grounds for their exclusion: --

6. Identification of the economic operators with which a contract or a framework		
agreement was concluded		
Business name:	NOVOGENE (HK) COMPANY LIMITED	
Seat:	Rm. 19C, Lockhart Ctr., 301-307, Lockhart Rd.	
	Wan Chai, Hong Kong	
Legal form:	Limited liability company	
ID:	61112195	
Reasons for their selection:	The Evaluation Committee assessed the tenders according to the evaluation criterion and, as the most advantageous, evaluated Tender No. 1 as it had a lower bid price.	

7. Identification of subcontractors	
Business name:	
Seat:	
Legal form:	
ID:	

8. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure with prior publication or a competitive dialogue procedure

Was not used

9. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure without prior publication

Was not used

10. Justification of the use of a light regime

Was not used

11. Justification of the cancellation of the procurement procedure or of not setting up a dynamic purchasing system

Was not used

12. Justification of the use of means of communication other than electronic means for the submission of tenders

In accordance with the Act, the Contracting Authority allowed the submission of tenders only in electronic form.

13. List of persons who were found to have a conflict of interest and a list of subsequently adopted measures

No conflict of interest was identified for any person.

14. Justification of not dividing an above-threshold public contract into lots

The public contract was not divided in lots because, regarding the subject-matter, it was not necessary.

15. Justification of laying down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act

Contracting authority did not lay down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act