

## Written report of the Contracting Authority

**Contracting Authority:** Česká zemědělská univerzita v Praze  
**Seat:** Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Praha - Suchdol  
**Represented:** Ing. Karel Půbal, Ph.D., bursar  
**ID:** 60460709  
**Contracting authority profile:** <https://zakazky.czu.cz>

### **PUBLIC CONTRACT:**

#### **Services for PacBio sequencing and related library Preparation**

The Contracting Authority based on the provisions of Section 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., On Public Procurement, as amended (hereinafter "the Act"), to the above-mentioned public contract awarded pursuant to Act draw up a written report

<b>1. Subject of public contract</b>	
The subject of the performance of the procurement is DNA library, Size selection (10-35 kb) and DNA damage repair - PacBio (RS II / Sequel), Pac Bio SEQUEL, single-end, 1-60 kb, 250-300 thousand reads per SMRT cell.	
<b>2. Price agreed in the public contract</b>	
2.000.000,- Kč	
<b>3. Type of procurement procedure</b>	
Simplified under-limit procedure according to Sect. 53 of the Act.	
<b>4. Identification of the participants</b>	
Business name:	<b>NOVOGENE (HK) COMPANY LIMITED</b>
Seat:	<b>Rm. 19C, Lockhart Ctr., 301-307, Lockhart Rd. Wan Chai, Hong Kong</b>
Legal form:	<b>Limited liability company</b>
ID:	<b>61112195</b>
<b>5. Identification of all participants excluded from the procurement procedure including a statement of grounds for their exclusion</b>	
Business name:	---
Seat:	---
Legal form:	---
ID:	---
Grounds for their exclusion:	---
<b>6. Identification of the economic operators with which a contract or a framework agreement was concluded</b>	
Business name:	<b>NOVOGENE (HK) COMPANY LIMITED</b>

Seat:	<b>Rm. 19C, Lockhart Ctr., 301-307, Lockhart Rd. Wan Chai, Hong Kong</b>
Legal form:	<b>Limited liability company</b>
ID:	<b>61112195</b>
Reasons for their selection:	The Evaluation Committee did not assess the tenders because it would assess only one tender. The Evaluation Committee merely stated the tender was acceptable to the Contracting Authority.

<b>7. Identification of subcontractors</b>	
Business name:	---
Seat:	---
Legal form:	---
ID:	---

<b>8. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure with prior publication or a competitive dialogue procedure</b>
Was not used

<b>9. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure without prior publication</b>
Was not used

<b>10. Justification of the use of a light regime</b>
Was not used

<b>11. Justification of the cancellation of the procurement procedure or of not setting up a dynamic purchasing system</b>
Was not used

<b>12. Justification of the use of means of communication other than electronic means for the submission of tenders</b>
In accordance with the Act, the Contracting Authority allowed the submission of tenders only in electronic form.

<b>13. List of persons who were found to have a conflict of interest and a list of subsequently adopted measures</b>
No conflict of interest was identified for any person.

<b>14. Justification of not dividing an above-threshold public contract into lots</b>
The public contract was not divided in lots because, regarding the subject-matter, it was not necessary.

**15. Justification of laying down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act**

Contracting authority did not lay down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act