

Written report of the Contracting Authority

Contracting Authority: Česká zemědělská univerzita v Praze
Seat: Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Praha - Suchdol
Represented: Ing. Karel Půbal, Ph.D., bursar
ID: 60460709
Contracting authority profile: <https://zakazky.czu.cz>

PUBLIC CONTRACT: Eddy Covariance

The Contracting Authority based on the provisions of Section 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., On Public Procurement, as amended (hereinafter "the Act"), to the above-mentioned public contract awarded pursuant to Act draw up a written report

1. Subject of public contract	
The subject of supply pursuant hereto is eddy covariance (also known as eddy correlation and eddy flux) equipment to measure and calculate vertical turbulent fluxes within atmospheric boundary layers.	
2. Price agreed in the public contract	
79 470 EUR	
3. Type of procurement procedure	
Simplified under-limit procedure according to Sect. 53 of the Act.	
4. Identification of the participants	
Business name:	Ekotechnika s. r. o.
Seat:	K Třešňovce 700, 252 29 Karlík
Legal form:	společnost s ručením omezeným
ID:	25147501
5. Identification of all participants excluded from the procurement procedure including a statement of grounds for their exclusion	
Business name:	---
Seat:	---
Legal form:	---
ID:	---
Grounds for their exclusion:	---
6. Identification of the economic operators with which a contract or a framework agreement was concluded	
Business name:	Ekotechnika s. r. o.
Seat:	K Třešňovce 700, 252 29 Karlík

Legal form:	společnost s ručením omezeným
ID:	25147501
Reasons for their selection:	The Evaluation Committee did not assess the tenders because it would assess only one tender. The Evaluation Committee merely stated the tender was acceptable to the Contracting Authority.

7. Identification of subcontractors	
Business name:	---
Seat:	---
Legal form:	---
ID:	---

8. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure with prior publication or a competitive dialogue procedure
Was not used

9. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure without prior publication
Was not used

10. Justification of the use of a light regime
Was not used

11. Justification of the cancellation of the procurement procedure or of not setting up a dynamic purchasing system
Was not used

12. Justification of the use of means of communication other than electronic means for the submission of tenders
In accordance with the Act, the Contracting Authority allowed the submission of tenders only in electronic form.

13. List of persons who were found to have a conflict of interest and a list of subsequently adopted measures
No conflict of interest was identified for any person.

14. Justification of not dividing an above-threshold public contract into lots
The public contract was not divided in lots because, regarding the subject-matter, it was not necessary.

15. Justification of laying down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act

Contracting authority did not lay down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act
--