

Written report of the Contracting Authority

Contracting Authority: Česká zemědělská univerzita v Praze
Seat: Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Praha - Suchdol
Represented: Ing. Karel Půbal, Ph.D., Bursar
ID: 60460709
Contracting authority profile: <https://zakazky.czu.cz>

PUBLIC CONTRACT:

Termite Gut Metagenomes Sequencing

The Contracting Authority based on the provisions of Section 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., On Public Procurement, as amended (hereinafter "the Act"), to the above-mentioned public contract awarded pursuant to Act draw up a written report

1. Subject of public contract	
The subject of the performance of the procurement is sequencing of termite gut metagenomes (Shotgun sequencing by using Novaseq platform)	
2. Price agreed in the public contract	
2.445.000,- Kč	
3. Type of procurement procedure	
Open procedure pursuant to Sec. 56 of the Act	
4. Identification of the participants	
Business name:	NOVOGENE (HK) COMPANY LIMITED
Seat:	Rm.19C, Lockhart CTR., 301-307 Lockhart Rd., 19C/Lockhart, 999077 Hong Kong
Legal form:	zahraniční PO
ID:	61112195
Business name:	Macrogen Europe B.V.
Seat:	Meibergdeef 31, 1105AZ Amsterdam
Legal form:	zahraniční PO
ID:	69716099
Business name:	Institute of Applied Biotechnologies a.s.
Seat:	Služeb 3056/4, 108 00 Praha 10, Strašnice
Legal form:	akciová společnost
ID:	27225712

5. Identification of all participants excluded from the procurement procedure including a statement of grounds for their exclusion	
Business name:	---
Seat:	---
Legal form:	---
ID:	---
Grounds for their exclusion:	---

6. Identification of the economic operators with which a contract or a framework agreement was concluded	
Business name:	Institute of Applied Biotechnologies a.s.
Seat:	Služeb 3056/4, 108 00 Praha 10, Strašnice
Legal form:	akciová společnost
ID:	27225712
Reasons for their selection:	The Evaluation Committee assessed the tenders according to the evaluation criterion and, as the most advantageous, evaluated Tender No. 1 as it had a lower bid price.

7. Identification of subcontractors	
Business name:	---
Seat:	---
Legal form:	---
ID:	---

8. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure with prior publication or a competitive dialogue procedure
Was not used

9. Justification of the use of a negotiated procedure without prior publication
Was not used

10. Justification of the use of a light regime
Was not used

11. Justification of the cancellation of the procurement procedure or of not setting up a dynamic purchasing system
Was not used

12. Justification of the use of means of communication other than electronic means for the submission of tenders

In accordance with the Act, the Contracting Authority allowed the submission of tenders only in electronic form.

13. List of persons who were found to have a conflict of interest and a list of subsequently adopted measures

No conflict of interest was identified for any person.

14. Justification of not dividing an above-threshold public contract into lots

The public contract was not divided in lots because, regarding the subject-matter, it was not necessary.

15. Justification of laying down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act

Contracting authority did not lay down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act